Live-Attenuated Respiratory Syncytial Virus Vaccine With M2-2 Deletion and With Small Hydrophobic Noncoding Region Is Highly Immunogenic in Children
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Background. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the leading viral cause of severe pediatric respiratory illness, and vaccines are needed. Live RSV vaccine D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII, attenuated by deletion of the RSV RNA regulatory protein M2-2, is based on previous candidate LID/ΔM2-2 but incorporates prominent differences from MEDI/ΔM2-2, which was more restricted in replication in phase 1.

Methods. RSV-seronegative children aged 6–24 months received 1 intranasal dose (10^5 plaque-forming units [PFUs] of D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII [n = 21] or placebo [n = 11]) and were monitored for vaccine shedding, reactogenicity, RSV-antibody responses and RSV-associated medically attended acute respiratory illness (RSV-MAARI) and antibody responses during the following RSV season.

Results. All 21 vaccinees were infected with vaccine; 20 (95%) shed vaccine (median peak titer, 3.5 log_{10} PFUs/mL with immunoplaque assay and 6.1 log_{10} copies/mL with polymerase chain reaction). Serum RSV-neutralizing antibodies and anti-RSV fusion immunoglobulin G increased ≥4-fold in 95% and 100% of vaccines, respectively. Mild upper respiratory tract symptoms and/or fever occurred in vaccinees (76%) and placebo recipients (18%). Over the RSV season, RSV-MAARI occurred in 2 vaccinees and 4 placebo recipients. Three vaccinees had ≥4-fold increases in serum RSV-neutralizing antibody titers after the RSV season without RSV-MAARI.

Conclusions. D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII had excellent infectivity and immunogenicity and primed vaccine recipients for amnestic responses, encouraging further evaluation of this attenuation strategy.
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Respiratory illness due to respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a major cause of disease and death, especially among infants and children <5 years of age [1, 2]. An effective vaccine for RSV has the potential for major impact on infant and child health.

In recent years, the pipeline of RSV vaccine candidates has expanded [3], and several live-attenuated candidates are in development. Live-attenuated vaccines for intranasal administration have potential advantages in that they can induce a full spectrum of innate, antibody, and cell-mediated responses [4], including mucosal responses protecting the upper respiratory tract, the site of natural infection. In addition, live-attenuated RSV vaccines will express the RSV fusion (F) glycoprotein largely in its prefusion form, resulting in effective induction of neutralizing antibodies [5, 6]. Key to success for live-attenuated RSV vaccines is achieving high rates of immune response while maintaining attenuation. Expanded understanding of RSV viral pathogenesis factors
[7] and techniques of reverse genetics [8] are powerful tools for rational design of live-attenuated vaccine candidates. Several recombinant candidate vaccines have recently been evaluated in children and infants [4, 9–13].

A promising attenuation strategy involves deletion of most of the open reading frame (ORF) encoding the RNA synthesis regulatory protein M2-2 [11, 14]. The RSV M2-2 protein is a small, nonabundant protein encoded by the second, downstream ORF in the M2 messenger RNA, which slightly overlaps the 5'-proximal, upstream M2-1 ORF [15]. Deletion of M2-2 results in increased viral RNA gene transcription and antigen expression but decreased genome replication [14]. In RSV-seronegative children, the increased antigen expression seems to result in greater immunogenicity despite lower replication [11]. Attenuating gene-deletion mutations typically are refractory to deattenuation that has been a problem for live vaccines attenuated by point mutations [10, 16].

Two candidate vaccines attenuated by M2-2 deletion, MEDI/ΔM2-2 and LID/ΔM2-2, have recently been evaluated [11, 12]. These 2 candidates were derived from 2 different recombinant parental complementary DNAs (cDNAs) that differ by 21 nucleotide assignments scattered throughout the genome. Finally, similarly to MEDI/ΔM2-2, D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII contains the complete 112-nucleotide 3' noncoding region of the SH gene that is present in biological RSV A2 but was deleted in LID/ΔM2-2. D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII was recovered from cDNA in qualified Vero cells, and clinical trial material was manufactured (Charles River Laboratories).

Sequence analysis confirmed that the seed virus and final drug product were identical, except for 3 polymorphisms which were considered biologically inconsequential: (1) a nucleotide dimorphism at nucleotide 2485 (about 20% of the virus population containing a G2485A mutation at this site), which would result in a 20% subpopulation with a D47N amino acid change in the P ORF; (2) a subpopulation of about 30% with a nucleotide insertion of an additional thymidine nucleotide in a polythymidine stretch (nucleotides 4537–39), located in the 3' noncoding region of the SH gene; and (3) a subpopulation of about 70% with an nucleotide insertion of 2 adenosine (A) residues in a polyadenosine stretch (nucleotides 15064–15068) of the L gene end signal (+1A [insertion of 1 adenosine] in about 30% of the population, +2A [insertion of 2 adenosines] in about 70%). Vaccine was diluted on site before administration to a dose of 10^5 plaque-forming units (PFUs) in a 0.5-mL volume. This was administered intranasally as a single dose divided between nostrils. The placebo and vaccine diluent was Leibovitz L15 medium during the first 5 months; it was then changed to the more readily available lactated Ringer's solution for injection, USP for the final 2 months of enrollment. The stability and in vitro infectivity of vaccine diluted in the 2 media were confirmed to be indistinguishable.

**Study Design**

This randomized (2:1 vaccine to placebo), double-blind, placebo-controlled study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers NCT03102034 and NCT03099291; https://clinicaltrials.gov) was conducted at 10 clinical trial sites (9 domestic International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials [MPAACT] sites and the Johns Hopkins Center for Immunization Research [CIR], Baltimore, Maryland), with accrual between 6 April and 1 October 2017 and surveillance for RSV-like illness from 1 November 2017 through 31 March 2018. Eligibility was determined as described elsewhere [12]; the study included children ≥6 and <25 months of age who were healthy, had no current
or past lung disease, and were RSV seronegative at screening (defined as serum RSV 60% plaque reduction neutralizing titer [PRNT$_{60}$] <1:40).

Clinical assessments were performed and NW specimens obtained on study days 0 (before intranasal inoculation), 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, and 28, with telephone contact to collect symptom information on all the intervening days. Additional clinical assessments and NW specimens were obtained in the event of respiratory illness (including upper respiratory tract illness [URTI] (defined as rhinorrhea, pharyngitis, or hoarseness), cough, acute otitis media, and lower respiratory tract illness [LRTI]) or fever. Data for all adverse events and reactogenicity events were collected through day 28. After day 28, children were monitored until day 56 for serious adverse events. During the RSV surveillance period (1 November through 31 March), families were contacted weekly to determine whether medically attended acute respiratory illnesses (MAARIs) had occurred, which were defined as fever, URTI, LRTI, or otitis media. Within 3 days of each illness episode, a clinical assessment and NW was obtained. Serum samples to measure RSV antibodies were obtained before inoculation, 56 days after inoculation (this sample also served as the pre-RSV surveillance sample when collected after 1 October), and before (1–31 October) and after the RSV winter surveillance period (1–30 April; 1 vaccinee's postsurveillance visit occurred in May).

Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of participants before enrollment. These studies were approved by each site's institutional review board, conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Standards of Good Clinical Practice, as defined by the International Conference on Harmonization, and monitored by the independent data safety and monitoring board of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Division of Clinical Research.

**Laboratory Assays**

NW specimens from days of illness were tested for common adventitious respiratory agents by means of reverse-transcription (RT) quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) Respiratory pathogens 21 multiplex kit (Fast Track Diagnostics). Vaccine virus in NW specimens was quantified by immunoplaque assay on Vero cells and by RT-qPCR, using the pre-RSV surveillance sample when collected after 1 October, and before (1–31 October) and after the RSV winter surveillance period (1–30 April; 1 vaccinee's postsurveillance visit occurred in May).

Serum RSV PRNT$_{60}$ values were determined by complement-enhanced 60% plaque reduction neutralization assay [20]. Serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody titers to the RSV F glycoprotein (anti-RSV F IgG) were determined by an IgG-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a purified baculovirus-expressed F protein [21, 22], provided by Novavax, as described elsewhere [11, 22, 23].

**Statistical Analysis**

Reciprocal serum PRNT$_{60}$ and anti-RSV F IgG titers were transformed to log$_2$ values. Even though log-transformed, some data deviated from normality; thus, nonparametric methods were used to test for statistical differences and assessing correlations. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) were used to summarize peak NW specimen titers and serum antibody titers to RSV. Mean and standard deviation values were presented to allow descriptive comparisons with other studies (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The summaries of vaccine virus shed in NW specimens, detected by means of immunoplaque assay and RT-qPCR, include vaccine recipients who were infected with vaccine. Infection was defined as detection of vaccine virus with immunoplaque assay and/or RT-qPCR and/or a ≥4-fold rise in serum RSV PRNT$_{60}$ or anti-RSV F IgG titer. For comparing vaccinated and placebo groups, 1-tailed tests were used when there was a clear biological prediction for directionality, and 2-tailed tests when differences were tested for but there was no definite directional hypothesis. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare peak viral titers and antibody titers between vaccine and placebo recipients. All analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute), and the graphs were produced using R software version 3.2.2.

**RESULTS**

**Accrual and Participant Characteristics**

The study accrued 21 vaccine and 11 placebo recipients. The distributions of sex, age, ethnicity and racial characteristics were similar for vaccine and placebo recipients (Table 1). All children received their assigned study treatment. One vaccine recipient discontinued the study after the day 56 evaluation owing to parental time constraints. This participant is not included in the summary of RSV-MAARI during RSV surveillance. Another vaccinee did not have serum obtained at either the pre- or post-RSV surveillance visits.

**Safety and Adverse Events**

During the 28 days after inoculation, mild upper respiratory tract and/or febrile events occurred in both vaccine and placebo recipients, with 76% (90% confidence interval [CI], 56%–90%) and 18% (3%–47%) having ≥1 illness episode, respectively (Table 2). All respiratory symptoms in both groups were grade 1. Grade 2 fever occurred in 1 vaccinee and 1 placebo recipient. There were no LRTIs, serious adverse events, or grade 3 or 4 events. Of the 16 vaccinees with respiratory or febrile illness, illness was concurrent with vaccine alone detected in NW
specimens in 12, vaccine plus rhinovirus in 2, vaccine plus rhinovirus and adenovirus in 1, and parainfluenza type 4 and no vaccine virus in 1. Of the 4 vaccinees with fever, vaccine virus alone was detected in NW specimens in 2, vaccine virus plus rhinovirus in 1, and parainfluenza type 3 virus without concurrent vaccine virus in 1. One of the 4 had a second episode of fever after vaccine shedding and concurrent with adenovirus. Among the 2 placebo recipients with illness, rhinovirus was detected in 1 and no agent in 1.

Generally, the rhinorrhea and cough symptoms seemed to cluster around days 8–14 after inoculation, suggesting that D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII shedding might be associated with mild rhinorrhea and/or mild cough. However, the 5 vaccinees without any respiratory or febrile illness during the 28-day period after study product administration included the vaccine with the highest peak titer by PCR; 2 of these 5 nonsymptomatic vaccinees had the highest overall levels of vaccine shedding assessed by area under the curve for PCR titers, and 4 of the 5 had vaccine areas under the curve above the median for the vaccinees (data not shown). There was no association between high magnitude of vaccine shedding and respiratory symptoms.

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Vaccine and Placebo Recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Vaccine (n = 21)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 11)</th>
<th>Total (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 (62)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>21 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 (38)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>11 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>18 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>9 (43)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>14 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>10 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>20 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia and Tennessee</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>9 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-exposed, uninfected</td>
<td>9 (43)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>14 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR) mo</td>
<td>97 (7–13)</td>
<td>96 (6–15)</td>
<td>90 (7–14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range.

*Of the 10 sites, 2 each were in California, New York, and Illinois, and 1 each in Colorado, Georgia, Tennessee, and Maryland.

### Table 2. Vaccine Virus Shedding, Peak Virus Titers, and Clinical Assessment During the First 28 Days After Inoculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Children, No.</th>
<th>Shedding Vaccine Virus, %a</th>
<th>Plaque Assay, Log10 PFUs/mLb</th>
<th>RT-qPCR, Log10 Copies/mLc</th>
<th>Peak Viral Titer in NW Specimens, Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Fever URTI LRTI Cough OM Respiratory or Febrile Illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.5 (2.9–3.8)</td>
<td>6.1 (5.9–6.6)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>15 (71) 0 (0) 12 (57) 0 (0) 16 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–0.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.7–1.7)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>1 (9) 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) 2 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; NW, nasal wash; OM, otitis media; PFUs, plaque-forming units; RT-qPCR, reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.

*aPercentage of children with vaccine virus detected in NW specimens by immunoplaque assay and/or RT-qPCR. In all cases, vaccine shedding was detected by both immunoplaque assay and PCR.

*bFor each child, the individual peak (highest) NW specimen titer, irrespective of day, measured by immunoplaque assay and expressed as log10 PFUs per milliliter. The lower limit of detection was 0.5 log10 PFUs/mL.

*cFor each participant, the individual peak (highest) NW specimen titer, irrespective of day, measured by RT-qPCR and expressed as log10 copies per milliliter. The lower limit of detection was 1.7 log10 copies/mL.

*Children with indicated respiratory symptoms occurring in the 28 days after inoculation. LRTI was defined as wheezing, rhonchi, or rales or a diagnosis of pneumonia or laryngotracheobronchitis (croup). URTI was defined as rhinorrhea, pharyngitis, or hoarseness.
and/or ≥4-fold increase in either RSV PRNT_{60} or anti-RSV F IgG titers in serum samples obtained before and after RSV surveillance. Of the 6 vaccinees, only 2 had an RSV-associated MAARI: 1 with fever and URTI (RSV-A) and 1 with fever, otitis media, and cough (RSV-B). Interestingly, the vaccinee with RSV-B infection did not have a ≥4-fold increase in either RSV PRNT_{60} or RSV F IgG antibody titers during the RSV season. All 4 placebo recipients with RSV infection during surveillance had an associated MAARI; 1 had rhinorrhea alone (RSV-B), 1 had rhinorrhea with fever (RSV-B), 1 had otitis media (RSV-B), and 1 had an LRTI (bronchiolitis; RSV-A). Among the participants with natural RSV infection, the post–RSV surveillance serum RSV PRNT_{60} tended to be higher for vaccinees than for placebo recipients (median [IQR], 10.4 [6.4–11.0] vs 7.1 [5.9–8.8] log_{2}) (Figure 3), indicative of an anamnestic response to wild-type RSV.

Assessment of antibody titers in vaccinees who did not have a boosted response after the RSV surveillance period, and thus were presumed not to have been exposed to RSV during the surveillance period, provided an opportunity to evaluate the durability of the primary response. In these 14 vaccinees, the pre– and post–RSV surveillance median RSV PRNT_{60} was minimally changed (median [IQR], 6.7 [5.4–8.4] vs 6.1 [5.8–7.9] log_{2}). Similar results were observed for the pre– and post–RSV surveillance anti–RSV F IgG titer (median [IQR], 14.4 [13.5–15.7] vs 13.7 [11.9–15.6] log_{2}).

DISCUSSION

When administered to RSV-naive 6–24 month-old infants and children, the RSV D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII candidate vaccine was well tolerated, was highly infectious (100% of participants had evidence of vaccine shedding and/or a serum RSV antibody response), and resulted in excellent induction of serum RSV-specific antibodies, including neutralizing antibodies. Vaccination induced neutralizing antibody responses in 95% of participants, a frequency matching or exceeding that observed in previously studied live-attenuated RSV vaccine candidates [12, 13, 24]. Importantly, vaccinees demonstrated anamnestic serum RSV antibody responses after natural RSV infection during the RSV season, often without associated medically attended illnesses. In vaccinees without anamnestic responses or other evidence of RSV infection (presumably not exposed to RSV during the surveillance period), serum RSV antibody responses were durable, with minimal changes in titer 6–9 months after vaccination.

The vaccine was well tolerated, with no LRTIs or other concerning safety signals. Although there was a slightly greater incidence of URTIs among vaccinees than among placebo recipients, all respiratory symptoms were mild (grade 1), and there was only 1 participant with fever with vaccine shedding and no other adventitious respiratory agent. Although the respiratory symptoms seemed to cluster during the period of vaccine
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Serum RSV-Neutralizing Antibodies&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Serum IgG ELISA RSV F Antibodies&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccination</td>
<td>RSV Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥4-Fold Rise, No. (%)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine recipients (n = 21)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3–2.3)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.1–8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo recipients (n = 11)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3–2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; F, fusion; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IQR, interquartile range; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.

<sup>a</sup>Serum RSV 60% plaque reduction neutralizing titer (PRNT<sub>60</sub>) was determined with complement-enhanced 60% plaque reduction neutralization assay; serum IgG titers to RSV F, with ELISA.

<sup>b</sup>Titer results are expressed as median reciprocal log2 values (with IQRs), determined for all participants in each group. Specimens with titers below the limit of detection were assigned reciprocal titers of 2.3 log<sub>2</sub> (PRNT<sub>60</sub>) and 4.6 log<sub>2</sub> (ELISA).

<sup>c</sup>Before inoculation.

<sup>d</sup>After inoculation at study day 56.

<sup>e</sup>No (%) of vaccine and placebo recipients with ≥4-fold increase between preinoculation and postinoculation antibody titers.

<sup>f</sup>Before RSV surveillance, collected 1–31 October or on day 56 if on or after 1 October.

<sup>g</sup>After RSV surveillance, collected approximately 6–12 months after inoculation (1–30 April except in 1 vaccine recipient, who had serum collected in May).

<sup>h</sup>No (%) of vaccinee and placebo recipients with a ≥4-fold increase between pre– and post–RSV surveillance antibody titers.

<sup>i</sup>Two vaccine recipients had missing data at these time points.
with a high rate of nasal congestion in young infants, resulting in difficulty feeding and sleeping [9]. One of the present series of vaccines, LID/cp/ΔM2-2, designed with the insertion of a set of 5 defined point mutations originally derived from serial cold passage, resulted in an overattenuated vaccine that had low infectivity and low-titer antibodies in only a fraction of the participants [24]. A second vaccine constructed by the addition of the genetically stabilized mutation 1030s, LID/ΔM2-2/1030s, resulted in a vaccine that was more attenuated than the parent vaccine, with a median peak virus titer of 3.1 log_{10} PFUs/mL, and none of the 20 vaccines had a peak titer ≥5 log_{10} PFUs/mL [25].

The vaccine candidate in the current report, D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII, was designed by incorporating elements from MEDI/ΔM2-2 into LID/ΔM2-2 with the goal to generate a vaccine with high infectivity and immunogenicity, comparable to both the parent viruses, and with low peak vaccine virus shedding in NW specimens, comparable to the MEDI/ΔM2-2. The new construct did achieve the goals for infectivity and immunogenicity with robust neutralizing antibody responses observed in almost every vaccinee. The median peak vaccine virus titer for D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII was similar to that for LID/ΔM2-2 (both 3.5 log_{10} PFUs/mL). However, D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII had a narrower range and less variability in peak titers, with only 5% with a peak titer ≥5 log_{10} PFUs/mL versus 15% for LID/ΔM2-2. This suggests greater attenuation than LID/ΔM2-2, but considerably higher peak viral titers than MEDI/ΔM2-2, for which the highest peak viral titer was 3.8 log_{10} PFUs (mean peak titer [standard deviation], 1.5 [0.9] log_{10} PFUs/mL) [11]. Thus, LID/ΔM2-2 and its 3 derivatives seem to exhibit a range of attenuation.

This study has several limitations. The small sample size precludes firm conclusions regarding rates of vaccine-associated events. The immune assessment included only the most established correlates of protection against RSV disease, namely serum RSV-neutralizing and serum anti-RSV F IgG titers. Future studies could include measurements such as serum antibodies specific to the prefusion version of the RSV F protein and measures of cellular immunity.

In conclusion, the D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII vaccine had excellent infectivity and generated robust neutralizing antibody and anti-RSV F protein IgG responses. An anamnestic response was observed after the subsequent RSV season in several vaccinees, most of whom lacked medically attended RSV disease. Because this is a live vaccine administered intranasally, it is likely to induce local and systemic innate and cellular as well as humoral responses. This study provides further evidence of the safety and immunogenicity of live-attenuated RSV vaccines using a deletion mutation in M2-2 as the primary attenuating factor. Although the respiratory symptoms observed in this study were mild, the level of shedding of D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII suggests that this vaccine may not be sufficiently

### Figure 2

**Serum respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) antibody titers in vaccine and placebo recipients.** Serum RSV 60% plaque reduction neutralizing titer (PRNT_{60}) (A) and anti-RSV F (IgG) titers (B) were determined by means of complement-enhanced 60% plaque reduction neutralization assay and IgG-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay against purified RSV F protein, respectively, for vaccine (open circles) and placebo (x's) recipients in serum samples collected before inoculation (screening), after inoculation (study day 56), before surveillance (October of the enrollment year), and after surveillance (usually April after the RSV season). Titers are expressed as the reciprocal log, values. Lines indicate median (solid lines) and mean (dashed lines) values. P values were determined by means of Wilcoxon rank sum test. Data for the presurveillance and postsurveillance visits are missing for 2 vaccine recipients.

D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII vaccine candidate had excellent infectivity and generated robust neutralizing antibody and anti-RSV F protein IgG responses. An anamnestic response was observed after the subsequent RSV season in several vaccinees, most of whom lacked medically attended RSV disease. Because this is a live vaccine administered intranasally, it is likely to induce local and systemic innate and cellular as well as humoral responses. This study provides further evidence of the safety and immunogenicity of live-attenuated RSV vaccines using a deletion mutation in M2-2 as the primary attenuating factor. Although the respiratory symptoms observed in this study were mild, the level of shedding of D46/NS2/N/ΔM2-2-HindIII suggests that this vaccine may not be sufficiently

shading, there was no evidence for an association of symptoms with the magnitude of vaccine shedding. Most notably, 2 vaccinees with the highest overall level of vaccine shedding were among the vaccinees with no solicited signs and symptoms, and only 1 of the asymptomatic vaccinees had an overall level of vaccine shedding below the median. Larger studies are needed to determine the precise frequency of vaccine-related adverse events, especially because URTIs are frequent in this age group. Nevertheless, the symptoms were of a mild grade and type that might be acceptable for an efficacious vaccine.

This study was 1 of 3 trials with the same study design, each examining a different vaccine candidate constructed by the addition of attenuating mutations to the vaccine LID/ΔM2-2 [24,25]. The level of vaccine shedding is an important measure of attenuation: the optimal level is unknown, but earlier studies indicated that titers of 4.0–4.9 log_{10} PFUs/mL were associated
attenuated for further development. However, the results demonstrate the feasibility and the promise of rational design for the iterative process to create a live-attenuated RSV vaccine. Larger studies will be needed to evaluate vaccine safety, as well as the efficacy of protection from RSV disease in infants and children.

**Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

**Notes**

*Acknowledgments.* We especially thank the children and families for their commitment to advancing research in the prevention of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). We acknowledge members of the protocol team for their expert contributions, including Bhagvanji Thumar, MS, at the Center for Immunization Research Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University; investigator Amanda Dempsey, MD, and laboratory technologist Paul Harding, MS, of the University of Colorado School of Medicine; data managers Linda Marillo, BS, Benjamin Johnston, BS, Oswald Dadson, MS, and Andee Fox, MPH, at Frontier Science & Technology Research; protocol pharmacist Lynette Purdue, PharmD, at Pharmaceutical Affairs Branch, Division of AIDS; Network Laboratory Center Specialist Dale Dayton, RN, CCRA, at Children’s Hospital of Los Angeles; Westat clinical research associate Scott Watson, BS, at Westat; the companion protocol (CIR 313) medical monitor Shirley Jankelevich, MD, and the CIR 313 clinical research oversight managers Kelly Cahill, RN, CCRC, RAC, Susan Vogel, RN, BSN, and John Tierney RN, MPM, of the Regulatory Compliance & Human Subjects Protection Branch, Division of Clinical Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH). We thank the members of the NIAID, Division of Clinical Research, Data and Safety Monitoring Board. Gregory Glenn, MD, Novavax, graciously provided the gift of baculovirus-expressed RSV fusion protein used as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay antigen.

Finally, we thank the dedicated site investigators and research professionals at the following institutions: Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children’s: Brizeida Morales, CCMA, Ruth Williams, RN, and Jessica D’Angelo, APN; Emory University: Andres F. Camacho-Gonzalez, MD, Rana Chakraborty, MD, Bridgett Wynn, MPN, and LaTeshia Thomas-Seaton, MS, APRN, CCRC; Johns Hopkins University: Kristi Herbert, CRNP, and Karen Loehr, BSN; Jacobi Medical Center Bronx: Joanna Dobroszycki,
MD, Marlene Burey, NP, and Heesun Huh, PharmD; SUNY Stony Brook: Christy Beneri, DO, Sharon Nachman, MD, and Erin Infanzon; Rush University: Mariam Aziz, MD, Maureen McNichols, RN, CCRC, Ixchell Ortiz-Estes, APN, and Kenneth Boyer, MD; St Jude Children’s Research Hospital: Nehali Patel, MD, Sandra Jones, PNP, and Neil Dempsey, RN; University of California, Los Angeles: Michele F. Carter, RN; University of Colorado School of Medicine: Carrie Chambers, RN, BSN, Christine Kwon, BS, Kimberly Pierce, DNP, RN, CPNP, and Tina Powell, MS; and University of Southern California: Sara Villanueva, LVN.

Disclaimer. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Financial support. This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) with cofunding from Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institute of Mental Health, National Institute of Health (NIH) (grants U1AI068632 [International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAAC) Leadership and Operations Center (LOC)], U1AI068616 [IMPAAC Statistical and Data Management Center (SDMC)], and U1AI06716 [IMPAAC Laboratory Center (LC)]; NICHD (contract HHSN275201800001I); NIAID (contract HHSN272200900010C to R. A. K., J. O., and E. S.); the Intramural Program of the NIAID (L. Y., C. L., P. L. C., and U. J. B.); a cooperative research and development agreement between NIAID, NIH, and Sanofi Pasteur; the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, NIH (clinical and translational science award U1 TR002535 to the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus and Children's Hospital Colorado); and the Duke University Center for AIDS Research, an NIH-funded program (grant 5P30 AI064518 supporting work at Duke University).

Potential conflicts of interest. C. L., P. L. C., and U. J. B. are listed as inventors on patents related to live-attenuated RSV vaccines, including vaccines made by ablating expression of the M2-2 open reading frame and vaccines containing genetically stabilized attenuating mutations and received research support and royalties paid by Sanofi Pasteur. R. A. K. and L. Y. report research support from Sanofi Pasteur. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References
13. Buchholz UJ, Cunningham CK, Muresan P, et al; International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) P1114 Study Team. Live respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) vaccine candidate containing stabilized temperature-sensitivity mutations is highly attenuated in


